U.S. STEPLEME DAVING

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA BRUNSWICK DIVISION

GIA Jayh

JAMES CHILDERS.

Petitioner,

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 205-031

JOSE VASQUEZ, Warden,

Respondent.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Petitioner James Childers, an inmate currently incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Jesup, Georgia, has filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. § 2241. In his petition, Childers asserts that the Bureau of Prisons ("BOP") has incorrectly calculated his good conduct time. Specifically, Childers contends that the BOP's interpretation of the "good conduct time statute", 18 U.S.C.A. § 3624(b)(1), has deprived him of good conduct time that he is entitled to receive. Childers contends that the plain language of § 3624(b)(1) requires that he receive 54 days of good conduct time for every year of imprisonment to which he was sentenced. The BOP has interpreted § 3624(b)(1) to mean that "54 days of [good conduct time] may be earned for each full year [actually] served on a sentence [.]" Program Statement No. 5880.28.

This statute, in pertinent part, states:

[A] prisoner who is serving a term of imprisonment of more than one year . . . may receive credit toward the service of the prisoner's sentence, beyond the time served, of up to 54 days at the end of each year of the prisoner's term of imprisonment, beginning at the end of the first year of the term[.]

18 U.S.C.A. § 3624(b)(1). In a case of first impression in this circuit, the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals held that the plain text of § 3624(b)(1) is ambiguous, but the BOP's interpretation of this statute is reasonable and "due to be affirmed[.]" Brown v. McFadden, 2005 WL 1618739, *2 (11th Cir. 2005, July 12, 2005). The Eleventh Circuit also held that the rule of lenity is not applicable because of the BOP's reasonable interpretation of § 3624(b)(1). Id. Thus, Brown's petition, in which he sought credit for additional days of good conduct time, was appropriately denied.

MES E. GRAHAM

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

United States District Court

Southern District of Georgia

| CHILDERS | |) | | | • |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| vs | |) | CASE NUMBER CV205-31 | | |
| VASQUEZ | |) | DIVISION | BRUNS | WICK |
| | t, while conducting | T | | • | ffice of the Clerk of this does hereby certify the |
| 1. | duties, I personal | ly placed in the | om the Court and in the United States Mains, parties or attorney | l a sealed | envelope, and properly |
| 2. | That the aforemer 9/12/05 | | oped contained a cop hich is part of the of | • | |
| Date of Maili | ing: <u>9/12/</u> | 05 | | | |
| Date of Certi | ficate | x same | date, or | | |
| | | | Scott L. Poff, Clerk | | |
| | | | By: Sherry Taylor, | January 1 | J |
| Name and Ac | <u>ldress</u> | | Shelly Taylor, | , Deputy | CICIR |
| Amy Lee Cope James Childers | | , 2680 Highway | 301 South, Jesup, GA 3 | 1599 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | Copy placed in Minutes |
| | | | | | Copy given to Judge |
| | | | | X | Copy given to Magistrate |